## Remarks:

This amendment is submitted in an earnest effort to advance this case to issue without delay. There is no art rejection against claim 49.

The new-matter problem with claim 37 has been cured by using the term "distance," which was used in the translation and which in fact is an inaccurate translation of the German term "Abstand" that here should have more accurately been translated "spacing."

Since there is no art rejection against claim 49, which depended via claims 35 and 37 on independent claim 30, the subject matter of claims 49, 37, and 35 has been inserted into claim 30 and claims 35, 37, and 49 have been canceled. This places claim 30 in allowable form.

In addition the claims have been gone over to better agree with new main claim 30 and generally sharpen their language and make them more consistent.

It is noted that the main claim now clearly recites a method whereby chip modules are adhered to a film strip that is cut into short sections with the periphery of the strip of each section

projecting outward past the respective module. The antennas are meanwhile adhered to an antenna strip but at a spacing or distance that is longer than that of the short film sections. The film sections are then pressed down against the antenna strip and the peripheries of the film sections are adhered all around the respective modules, encapsulating them, while the module contacts are pressed against the contacts of the respective antennas.

This is an extremely simple yet effective production method that turns out antenna assemblies that are sealed and durable. Nothing like it is seen in the art, as evidenced by the lack of any rejection of claim 49 in the office action.

For these reasons all the claims in the case are in condition for allowance Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

If only minor problems that could be corrected by means of a telephone conference stand in the way of allowance of this

case, the examiner is invited to call the undersigned to make the necessary corrections.

KF Ross PC

/Andrew Wilford/

by: Andrew Wilford, 26,597
 Attorney for Applicant

22 June 2010 5683 Riverdale Avenue Box 900 Bronx, NY 10471-0900

Cust. No.: 535
Tel: 718 884-6600
Fax: 718 601-1099

Email: email@kfrpc.com

Enclosure:

None.